

Draft Supplemental Environmental Assessment

Indian River County Sector 7 Beach Restoration FEMA-DR-4283-FL FEMA-DR-4337-FL FEMA-DR-4468-FL **Indian River County, Florida** December 2021



U. S. Department of Homeland Security Region IV – Atlanta, GA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACR	RONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	<i>.</i>			
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1			
2.0	PURPOSE AND NEED	1			
3.0	ALTERNATIVES	2			
	 3.1 Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative				
4.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES				
	 4.1 Potential Environmental Consequences 4.2 Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988) 4.3 Coastal Zone Management 4.4 Protection of Wetlands (Executive Order 11990) 4.5 Environmental Justice (Executive Order 12898) 4.6 Threatened and Endangered Species 4.6.1 Existing Conditions 4.7 Cultural Resources 4.7.1 Existing Conditions 	30 31 32 32 32			
5.0	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	35			
6.0	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	36			
7.0	AGENCY COORDINATION30				
8.0	LIST OF PREPARERS3				
9.0	REFERENCES	37			

Appendices

- A Floodplain Management Checklist & Map
- B USACE Environmental Assessment and Statement of Findings
- C Public Notice

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

APE Area of Potential Effect
BMP Best Management Practice

CCCL Coastal Construction Control Line
CEQ Council on Environmental Quality

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CY Cubic Yards

EA Environmental Assessment

EO Executive Order

ERP Environmental Resource Permit

FCMP Florida Coastal Management Program

FDEP Florida Department of Environmental Protection

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FIRM Flood Insurance Rate Map FMSF Florida Master Site File

FONSI Finding of No Significant Impact

IPaC Information for Planning and Consultation

JCP Joint Coastal Permit

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NHPA National Historic Preservation Act NMFS National Marine Fisheries Service

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NRHP National Register of Historic Places

PA Public Assistance

PBO Programmatic Biological Opinion

PL Public Law

SEA Supplemental Environmental Assessment

SHPO State Historic Preservation Office

Stafford Act Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act

SWPPP Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Hurricane Matthew impacted Florida between October 3, 2016 and October 19, 2016, bringing strong winds, storm surge, and flooding. President Obama signed a disaster declaration (FEMA-4283-DR-FL) on October 8, 2016, authorizing the Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to provide federal assistance to the designated areas of Florida. Subsequently, Hurricane Irma impacted the State of Florida between September 4, 2017 and October 18, 2017, also bringing strong winds, storm surge, and flooding. President Trump signed a disaster declaration (FEMA-4337-DR-FL) on September 10, 2017, authorizing federal assistance in Florida. Lastly, Hurricane Dorian impacted the State of Florida between August 28, 2019 and September 9, 2019, also bringing storm surge, and flooding. President Trump signed a disaster declaration (FEMA-4468-DR-FL) on October 21, 2019, authorizing federal assistance in Florida. This assistance is provided pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), and Public Law (PL) 93-288, as amended. Section 406 of the Stafford Act authorizes FEMA's Public Assistance (PA) Program to repair, restore, and replace state and local government and certain private nonprofit facilities damaged as a result of the event.

Indian River County, Florida was designated in all three disasters to receive federal assistance. Indian River County has applied through the PA Program to receive funding to restore the eroded shoreline along Sector 7. The shoreline is an engineered and maintained beach previously authorized for nourishment and maintenance by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

In 2005, an Environmental Assessment (EA) was prepared by Dial Cordy and Associates, Inc. for Indian River County Coastal Engineering Division. Based on this Environmental Assessment, the USACE issued a statement of finding, which includes a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) on their proposed action on December 12, 2006. Any federal agency may adopt another federal or state agency's EA (40 CFR §1500.4(n), §1500.5(h), and §1506.3) providing the original document satisfies the agency's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements. FEMA has adopted this previous EA with the USACE's statement of findings (Appendix B) and has also provided supplemental information. The EA online can be accessed https://www.ircgov.com/PublicWorks/Coastal/Documents/Sector 7 Environmental Assessment.pd f.

This Supplemental EA (SEA) has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (Public Law 91-190, as amended) and its implementing regulations at 40 CFR parts 1500 to 1508, promulgated by the President's Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ). Recent changes to the CEQ regulations implementing the NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500 to 1508) became effective on September 14, 2020; 85 Fed. R. 43304-76 (July 16, 2020). As stated in 40 CFR Part 1506.13, the new regulations apply to any NEPA process begun after September 14, 2020. This SEA substantively commenced prior to that date; therefore, this SEA conforms to the CEQ NEPA implementing regulations that were in place prior to September 14, 2020, and policies issued by the Department of Homeland Security Directive 023-01, Rev 01, and FEMA Directive 108-1.

2.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

The purpose of this project is to address beach erosion damage to the existing coastline in Indian River County from Hurricanes Matthew, Irma, and Dorian between FDEP reference monuments R-97 and R-108, also known as Sector 7. As a result of the storm surge and wave action caused by these three storms, Sector 7 of Indian River County suffered substantial erosion. The need for this project is to improve the capacity of the shoreline to withstand future storm events, reduce erosion, and

decrease risk from future events to human life and improved property. Further there is a need to address additional impacts from erosion including habitat loss for sea turtles and shorebirds, and the recreational value of the beach.

3.0 ALTERNATIVES

The alternatives considered in addressing the purpose and need stated are the No Action Alternative and the Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred). Per the utilization of streamlined procedures for environmental assessments associated with Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, Maria, and Nate (Federal Register Notice FEMA-2017-0035), these alternatives are the only alternatives requiring consideration in this SEA.

3.1 Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, the beach restoration project would not be completed. Thus, the beach and community would not be protected from future storm surge events. Erosion would continue to occur along the beach and negative impacts to species and the recreational value of the area could occur.

3.2 Alternative 2 – Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred Alternative)

Under the Preferred Alternative, the comprehensive beach restoration project would occur along approximately 2 miles (10,300 feet) of shoreline in Sector 7 in Indian River County using offshore dredged material or sand from an approved upland sand mine. This project would increase the level of storm protection to the existing shore, upland habitat, and infrastructure. The project would also maintain a viable beach and dune system and nesting habitat for threatened and endangered nesting sea turtles, as well as protect and maintain nesting habitat for shorebird species including the threatened piping plover. The project would also provide recreation enhancement of the publicly accessible shoreline along Sector 7. Combining renourishment efforts, rather than conducting an interim renourishment to restore only the disaster related losses, minimizes overall cost of the project and reduces the frequency of environmental impacts in the project area.

Indian River County has applied for funding from FEMA under the PA program to restore beaches that eroded as a result of FEMA-4283-DR-FL, FEMA-4337-DR-FL, and FEMA-4468-DR-FL. The Sector 7 Beach was originally constructed in 2007 and the project construction period occurred between March to May 2007. The beach has not been renourished since the original construction in 2007. The initial project was constructed using 362,200 cubic yards (CY) of beach compatible sand that was obtained from Sub-Area 1 of the South Borrow Area, located approximately 2.5 miles southeast of the project site. The project site is located between FDEP reference monuments R-97 (GPS Coordinates: 27.6143389, -80.343343) on the north end and R-108 (GPS Coordinates: 27.587848, -80.330151) on the south end. The proposed project includes replacing an estimated combined total of 294,496 CY of fill. Of this total, approximately 198,000 CY is hurricane related: 93,300 CY of sand was lost during Hurricane Matthew, 43,100 CY of sand was lost during Hurricane Irma, and 61,600 CY of sand was lost during Hurricane Dorian. Sand lost from background erosion will also be placed concurrently to fill the beach template. Additional sand may be placed if the beach is further eroded by storm events during the 2021 hurricane season. The total sand placed would not exceed the designed beach profile and permitting requirements. Sand would either be sourced from approved upland sites (the Vulcan Materials' Diamond and Witherspoon mines; the Jahna Industries'

Independent South and Greenbay mines; or the Stewart Materials Capron Trail mine), or the previously permitted offshore borrow pit. If the offshore borrow area is used, a hydraulic dredge would be used.

4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Sector 7 beach is located in Indian River County on the east coast of Florida. Indian River County contains approximately 22.4 miles of sandy beach fronting the Atlantic Ocean, all of which is suitable habitat for endangered species such as sea turtles and piping plover. This stretch of beach is divided into eight sectors, numbered from north to south. Sector 7 comprises 2.22 miles (11,730 feet) of this coastline. The majority of the coastline is developed by single-family residential properties.

The total population of Indian River County is made up of both year-round and seasonal residents. The economy is largely tourism-driven by visitors to the coastal areas. The beaches along Indian River County host beach resorts, vacation rentals, and parks that facilitate recreational use of the shoreline.

4.1 Potential Environmental Consequences

The potential environmental consequences and required measures and permits required as a result of Alternative 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: Summary of the Potential Environmental Consequences and Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits for the Alternatives

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
Floodplains See Section 4.2 for details.	Alternative 1 – No impact. Risk to human life and improved property continues at current level. Alternative 2 – Minor beneficial impact as the beach would reduce flood risk to adjacent communities and preserve the floodplain for open space and recreational use.	Not applicable.

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
Coastal Zone Management See Section 4.3 for details.	Alternative 1 – No impact. Alternative 2 – Minor beneficial impact due to restoration of the sandy beach along the shoreline.	Alternative 2 would require an FDEP Joint Coastal Permit (JCP), which would constitute consistency review under the state's coastal zone management program. Indian River County has obtained a Consolidated Joint Coastal Permit and Sovereign Submerged Lands Authorization from FDEP, Permit Number: 0215960-005-JC.
Wetlands (Executive Order 11990) See Section 4.4 for details.	Alternative 1 – No impact. Alternative 2 – Short term minor impacts from construction. No long-term impacts.	Alternative 2 would require an FDEP JCP and an Individual Permit from the USACE. Indian River County has obtained a Consolidated Joint Coastal Permit and Sovereign Submerged Lands Authorization from FDEP, Permit Number: 0215960-005-JC. Additionally, Indian River County has obtained USACE Permit #SAJ-2003-06106.
Environmental Justice (Executive Order 12898) See Section 4.5 for details.	Alternative 1 and 2 – No impact.	Not applicable.
Threatened and Endangered Species See Section 4.6 for details.	Updated – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Sections 3.6 and 4.6. Alternative 1 – No impact, loss of suitable habitat for listed species. Alternative 2 – Minor beneficial effects due to increased habitat for sea turtles and shorebirds. Potential for incidental take during construction minimized by application of measures set forth in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)	Under Alternative 2, the following measures would be implemented from the applicable PBOs: 1. The applicant will comply with the following conditions from the USFWS Statewide Programmatic Biological Opinion for Sand Placement (SPBO) # 41910-2011-F-0170 issued to the USACE on March 13, 2015. Since FEMA became involved after the PBO was issued to the USACE (the Corps), where the following conditions refer to the Corps, it can be assumed that they also apply to FEMA: a) Beach-compatible fill shall be placed on the beach or in any associated dune system. Beach compatible fill must be sand that is similar to a native beach in the vicinity of the site that has not been affected by prior sand placement activity. The fill material must be similar in both coloration and grain size distribution to that native beach. Beach compatible fill is material that maintains the general character and functionality of the material

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
	Programmatic Biological Opinions (PBOs) with the USACE.	occurring on the beach and in the adjacent dune and coastal system. Fill material shall comply with FDEP requirements pursuant to the Florida Administrative Code (FAC) subsection 62B-41.005(15). If a variance is requested from FDEP, the Service must be contacted to discuss whether the project falls outside of the SPBO. A Quality Control Plan shall be implemented pursuant to FAC Rule 62B-41.008(1)(k)4.b.
		b) Sand placement shall not occur during the period of peak sea turtle egg laying and egg hatching to reduce the possibility of sea turtle nest burial, crushing of eggs, or nest excavation.
		 Sand placement projects in Indian River county shall be started after October 31 and be completed before May 1. During the May 1 through October 31 period, no construction equipment or pipes may be placed and/or stored on the beach.
		c) All derelict concrete, metal, and coastal armoring geotextile material and other debris shall be removed from the beach to the maximum extent possible prior to any sand placement in accordance with the dates in b. If debris removal activities take place during shorebird breeding or peak sea turtle nesting season, the work shall be conducted during daylight hours only and shall not commence until completion of daily seabird, shorebird or marine turtle surveys each day.
		d) The beach profile template for the sand placement project shall be designed to mimic, the native beach berm elevation and beach slopes landward and seaward of the equilibrated berm crest. Prior to drafting the plans and specifications for a beach nourishment project, the Corps must meet with the Service, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		Commission (FWC), and FDEP to discuss the beach profile surveys, dune formation (specifically on high density green turtle nesting beaches), and the sea turtle monitoring reports from previous placement events. The meeting will be used to discuss modifications to the beach profile based on the post-construction monitoring data. Beach profile may vary depending on location, shoreline dynamics, nature of the fill material, and other factors. If a native beach berm elevation is not possible, due to the beach width, impacts to nearshore hardbottom, or other considerations, as discussed during the meeting, the alternative template shall include features to minimize impacts to sea turtle nesting success and the potential for ponding and escarpment formation for that beach. For all high density green turtle nesting beaches, the formation of a dune, either through direct creation or natural accretion, will be included in the project design. Dunes and other construction features must be within the scope of the Congressionally-authorized project, if it is a civil works project, and constructible without impacting other resources. If a recommended dune is not possible, the Corps will contact the Service to see if consultation needs to be reinitiated or discuss features included in the profile design (or project) shall have a slope of 1.5:1 followed by a gradual slope of 4:1 for approximately 20 feet seaward on a high erosion beach (SPBO Figure 13) or a 4:1 slope (SPBO Figure 14) on a low erosion beach. The Corps must explore options to include a dune system in the project design for existing authorized projects and new non-Federal projects. If another slope is proposed for use, the
		Corps shall consult the Service. The

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		seaward toe of the dune should be at least 20 feet from the waterline.
		20 feet from the waterline. e) Predator-proof trash receptacles shall be installed and maintained during construction at all beach access points used for the project construction to minimize the potential for attracting predators of sea turtles and beach mice (SPBO Appendix F). The Corps shall provide predator-proof trash receptacles for the construction workers. The Corps shall brief workers on the importance of not littering and keeping the project area trash and debris free. f) A meeting between representatives of the Corps (including the Corps project manager and/or the managing contractor), the Service, the FWC, the FWC Marine Turtle Permit Holder, and other species surveyors, as appropriate, shall be held prior to the commencement of work on projects. At least 10 business days advance notice shall be provided prior to conducting this meeting. The meeting will provide an opportunity for explanation and/or clarification of the sea turtle and beach mouse protection measures as well as additional guidelines when construction occurs during the sea turtle nesting season, and will include the following i. Staging locations, storing equipment including fuel stations ii. Coordination with the Marine
		Turtle Permit Holder on nesting surveys and any nighttime work
		iii. Pipeline placement (between 5 to 10 feet from dune)
		iv. Minimizing driving
		v. Egg relocation- permit holder and location (must be approved by FWC)
		vi. Free-roaming cat observation (for projects in or near beach mouse habitat)

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		vii. Follow up lighting surveys - dates and inspector
		viii. Follow up coordination during construction and post construction
		ix. Coordination on construction lighting including dredge lighting and travel within and adjacent to the work area
		x. Direction of the project including progression of sand placement along the beach
		xi. Late season nests present in project area (if any)
		xii. Plans for compaction monitoring or tilling
		xiii. Plans for escarpment surveys
		At the preconstruction meeting, the Corps shall also provide the Service with specific anticipated shoreline lengths and anticipated duration using the form on the following web link: http://www.fws.gov/northflorida/SeaTurtles/Docs/ Corp%20of%20Engineers%20Sea%20Turtle%20Permit%20Information.pdf. Only the following information should be filled out: Corps Permit Number, FWS Log Number, Project Location, Construction Activity, Duration of Protect, and Actual Take (linear feet of beach). This form shall be emailed to the Service at seaturtle@fws.gov. This form is in addition to the annual report listed below.
		g) Daily early morning surveys for sea turtle nests shall be required and continue throughout the season as outlined in SPBO Tables 16 and 17 (Nesting Season Monitoring) if construction occurs during the nesting and hatching season. Any known nests recorded just prior to the

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		beginning of Nesting Season Monitoring must be relocated if it will be impacted by the construction activity or marked and avoided if feasible.
		h) If nests are constructed in the area of anticipated sand placement, the eggs shall be relocated to minimize sea turtle nest burial, crushing of eggs, or nest excavation as outlined in below. If nests are laid on the dune outside of the immediate sand placement area, the Corps must contact the Service to discuss whether relocation or mark and avoidance is required. Any known nests recorded just prior to the beginning of Nesting Season Monitoring must be relocated if it will be impacted by the construction activity or marked and avoided if feasible. i. For any placement projects in Indian River County that occur during the earlier part of the nesting season (see Table 14) through April 30, daily early morning surveys shall begin March 1 and continue through the end of the beach placement window, with egg relocation continuing only until completion of fill placement. Eggs shall be relocated per the following requirements below. For sand placement projects that occur during the period from November 1 through the end of hatching season (see Table 16), daily early morning sea turtle nesting surveys shall be conducted 65 days prior to project initiation and continue through November 11, and eggs shall be relocated per the requirements listed below. The Corps must contact the Service if there are any nests still incubating after November 30: Nesting surveys and egg relocations will only be
	<u> </u>	TOTOGRAPHIE WITH OHLY OC

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		conducted by persons with prior
		experience and training in these
		activities and who are duly
		authorized to conduct such
		activities through a valid permit
		issued by FWC, pursuant to FAC
		68E-1. Please contact FWC's
		Imperiled Species Management
		Section in Tequesta at
		mtp@myfwc.com for information
		on the permit holder in the project
		area. Relocation cannot begin
		until the Corps has a copy of the
		FWC permit authorizing
		relocation for construction
		purposes at that particular sand
		placement project. Nesting
		surveys shall be conducted daily
		between sunrise and 9 a.m. (this
		is for all time zones).
		• Only those nests that may be
		affected by sand placement
		activities will be relocated. Nest
		relocation shall not occur upon
		completion of the project. Nests
		requiring relocation shall be moved no later than 9 a.m. the
		morning following deposition to a nearby self-release beach site in a
		secure setting where artificial
		lighting will not interfere with
		hatchling orientation. Relocated
		nests shall not be placed in
		organized groupings. Relocated
		nests shall be randomly staggered
		along the length and width of the
		beach in settings that are not
		expected to experience daily
		inundation by high tides or known
		to routinely experience severe
		erosion and egg loss, predation, or
		be subject to artificial lighting.
		Nest relocations in association
		with construction activities shall
		cease when construction activities
		no longer threaten nests.

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		Nests deposited within areas where construction activities have ceased or will not occur for 65 days or nests laid in the nourished berm prior to tilling shall be marked and left in situ unless other factors threaten the success of the nest. The turtle permit holder shall install an on-beach marker at the nest site and a secondary marker at a point as far landward as possible to assure that future location of the nest will be possible should the on-beach marker be lost. No activity will occur within this area nor will any activities occur that could result in impacts to the nest. Nest sites shall be inspected daily to assure nest markers remain in place and the nest has not been disturbed by the project activity. Daytime surveys shall be conducted for leatherback sea turtle nests beginning March 1. Nighttime surveys for leatherback sea turtles shall begin when the first leatherback crawl is recorded within the project area through April 30 or until completion of the project (whichever is earliest). Nightly nesting surveys shall be conducted from 9 p.m. until 6 a.m. The project area shall be surveyed at 1-hour intervals (since leatherbacks require at least 1.5 hours to complete nesting, this will ensure all nesting leatherbacks are encountered) and eggs shall be relocated per the requirements listed above. i) Two surveys shall be conducted of all lighting visible from the beach
		placement area by the Applicant or Corps, using standard techniques for such a survey (SPBO Appendix C), in

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		the year following construction. The first survey shall be conducted between May 1 and May 15 and a fill out FWS Sea Turtle Lighting Survey Form (SPBO Appendix D) and send electronically to seaturtle@fws.gov. The second survey shall be conducted between July 15 and August 1. A summary report of the surveys, including any actions taken, shall be submitted to the Service by December 31 of the year in which surveys are conducted. After the annual report is completed, a meeting shall be set up with the Applicant, county or municipality, FWC, Corps, and the Service to discuss the survey report, as well as any documented sea turtle disorientations in or adjacent to the project area. If the project is completed during the nesting season and prior to May 1, the Corps may conduct the lighting surveys during the year of construction.
		 j) Daily nesting surveys shall be conducted for two nesting seasons following construction in accordance with SPBO Table 18 and reported in accordance with SPBO Table 20 by the Corps or the Applicant if placed material still remains on the beach. Post construction year-one surveys shall record the number of nests, nesting success, reproductive success, disorientations, and lost nests due to erosion and/or inundation. Post construction year- two surveys shall only need to record nest numbers, nesting success, and disorientations (SPBO Table 20). This information will be used to periodically assess the cumulative effects of these projects on sea turtle nesting and hatchling production and monitor suitability of post construction beaches for nesting. k) Sand compaction shall be monitored in the

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		area of sand placement immediately after completion of the project and prior to the dates in SPBO Table 19 for 3 subsequent years. If tilling is needed, the area shall be tilled to a depth of 36 inches. Each pass of the tilling equipment shall be overlapped to allow more thorough and even tilling. All tilling activity shall be completed at least once prior to the nesting season. An electronic copy of the results of the compaction monitoring shall be submitted electronically to seaturtle@fws.gov prior to any tilling actions being taken or if a request not to till is made based on compaction results. The requirement for compaction monitoring can be eliminated if the decision is made to till regardless of post construction compaction levels. Additionally, out-year compaction monitoring and remediation are not required if placed material no longer remains on the dry beach. (NOTE: If tilling occurs during shorebird nesting season (February 15-August 31), shorebirds surveys prior to tilling are required per the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. See Appendix E for shorebird conditions recommended by FWC. i. Compaction sampling stations shall be located at 500-foot intervals along the sand placement template. One station shall be at the seaward edge of the dune/bulkhead line (when material is placed in this area), and one station shall be midway between the dune line and the high water line (normal wrack line). ii. At each station, the cone penetrometer shall be pushed to a depth of 6, 12, and 18 inches three times (three replicates at each depth). Material may be removed from the hole if necessary to ensure accurate readings of successive levels of sediment. The penetrometer may need to be reset

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		between pushes, especially if sediment layering exists. Layers of highly compact material may lie over less compact layers. Replicates shall be located as close to each other as possible, without interacting with the previous hole or disturbed sediments. The three replicate compaction values for each depth shall be averaged to produce final values for each depth at each station. Reports will include all 18 values for each transect line, and the final six averaged compaction values.
		iii. If the average value for any depth exceeds 500 pounds per square inch (psi) for any two or more adjacent stations, then that area shall be tilled immediately prior to the appropriate date listed in SPBO Table 19.
		iv. If values exceeding 500 psi are distributed throughout the project area but in no case do those values exist at two adjacent stations at the same depth, then consultation with the Service will be required to determine if tilling is required. If a few values exceeding 500 psi are present randomly within the project area, tilling will not be required.
		v. Tilling shall occur landward of the wrack line and avoid all vegetated areas 3 square feet or greater with a 3 square foot buffer around the vegetated areas.
		1) Visual weekly surveys for escarpments along the project area shall be made immediately after completion of the sand placement and within 30 days prior to the start dates for Nesting Season Monitoring in SPBO Table 19 for 3 subsequent years if sand in the project area still remains on the dry beach.

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		Escarpments that interfere with sea turtle nesting or that exceed 18 inches in height for a distance of 100 feet shall be leveled and the beach profile shall be reconfigured to minimize scarp formation by the dates listed in SPBO Table 19. Any escarpment removal shall be reported by location in the annual report. If the project is completed during the early part of the sea turtle nesting and hatching season (March 1 through April 30), escarpments may be required to be leveled immediately, while protecting nests that have been relocated or left in place. If during weekly escarpment surveys, it is found that subsequent reformation of escarpments interferes with sea turtle nesting or that they exceed 18 inches in height for a distance of 100 feet during the nesting and hatching season, the Service shall be contacted immediately to determine the appropriate action to be taken. If it is determined by the Service or FWC that that escarpment leveling is required during the nesting or hatching season the Service, in coordination with the FWC, will provide a brief written authorization within 5 days that describes methods to be used to reduce the likelihood of impacting existing nests. An annual summary of escarpment surveys and actions taken shall be sent electronically to seaturtle@fws.gov. A summary is required even when no action has
		been taken (SPBO Table 3). m) Staging areas for construction equipment shall be located off the beach during early (before April 30) and late (after November 1) nesting season for Brevard through Broward counties (see table 14) and peak nesting season (May 1 through October 31) for the remaining counties. Nighttime storage of construction equipment not in use shall be off the beach to minimize disturbance to sea turtle nesting and hatching activities. In addition, all construction pipes placed on the beach shall be located as far landward as possible without compromising the

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		integrity of the dune system. Pipes placed parallel to the dune shall be 5 to 10 feet away from the toe of the dune if the width of the beach allows. Temporary storage of pipes shall be off the beach to the maximum extent possible. If the pipes are stored on the beach, they shall be placed in a manner that will minimize the impact to nesting habitat and shall not compromise the integrity of the dune systems. If the pipes placed parallel to the dune cannot be placed between 5 to 10 feet away from the toe of the dune during nesting and hatching season, the Corps must reinitiate consultation with the Service as this represents adverse effects not addressed in this SPBO. If it will be necessary to extend construction pipes past a known shorebird nesting site or over-wintering area for piping plovers, then whenever possible those pipes shall be placed landward of the site before birds are active in that area. No pipe or sand shall be placed seaward of a shorebird nesting site during the shorebird nesting season.
		n) Direct lighting of the beach and nearshore waters shall be limited to the immediate construction area during early (before April 30) and late (after November 1) nesting season for Brevard through Broward counties (see SPBO Table 14) and peak nesting season (May 1 through October 31) for the remaining counties, and shall comply with safety requirements. A light management plan for the dredge and the work site shall be submitted for approval by the Service and FWC prior to the pre-construction meeting. In accordance with this plan, lighting on all equipment shall be minimized through reduction, shielding, lowering, and appropriate placement to avoid excessive illumination of the water's surface and nesting beach while meeting all Coast Guard, Corps EM 385-

Resource	Environmental	Environmental Protection Measures and
	Consequences	Required Permits
		1- 1, and Occupational Safety and Health (OSHA) requirements. Light intensity of lighting equipment shall be reduced to the minimum standard required by OSHA for General Construction areas, in order not to misdirect sea turtles. Shields shall be affixed to the light housing on dredge and land- based lights and be large enough to block light from all lamps from being transmitted outside the construction area or to the adjacent sea turtle nesting beach in line-of-sight of the dredge (SPBO Figure 15).
		o) During the early (before April 30) and late (after November 1) nesting season for Brevard through Broward counties (see Table 14) and peak nesting season (May 1 through October 31) for the remaining counties, the Corps shall not extend the beach fill more than 500 feet (or other agreed upon length) along the shoreline between dusk and dawn of the following day until the daily nesting survey has been completed and the beach cleared for fill advancement. An exception to this may occur if there is a permitted sea turtle surveyor present on-site to ensure no nesting and hatching sea turtles are present within the extended work area. If the 500 feet is not feasible for the project, an agreed upon distance will be decided on during the preconstruction meeting. Once the beach has been cleared and the necessary nest relocations have been completed, the Corps will be allowed to proceed with the placement of fill during daylight hours until dusk at which time the 500-foot length (or other agreed upon length) limitation shall apply. If any nesting turtles are sighted on the beach within the immediate construction area, activities shall cease immediately until the turtle has returned to the water and the sea turtle permit holder responsible for nest monitoring has relocated the nest.

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		p) All vegetation planting shall be designed and conducted to minimize impacts to sea turtles and beach mice. Dune vegetation planting may occur during the sea turtle nesting season under the following conditions. i. Daily early morning sea turtle nesting surveys (before 9 a.m.) shall be conducted during the Nest Laying period for all counties in Florida where sea turtle nesting occurs (see Tables 16 and 17). Nesting surveys shall only be conducted by personnel with prior experience and training in nesting surveys. Surveyors shall have a valid FWC permit. Nesting surveys shall be conducted daily between sunrise and 9 a.m. (all times). No dune planting activity shall occur until after the daily turtle survey and nest conservation and protection efforts have been completed. Hatching and emerging success monitoring will involve checking nests beyond the completion date of the daily early morning nesting surveys; ii. Any nests deposited in the dune planting area not requiring relocation for conservation purposes shall be left in place. The turtle permit holder shall install an on-beach marker at the nest site and a secondary marker at a point as far landward as possible to assure that future location of the nest will be possible should the on- beach marker be lost. A series of stakes and highly visible survey ribbon or string shall be installed to establish a 3-foot radius around the nest. No planting or other

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		activity shall occur within this area nor will any activities be allowed that could result in impacts to the nest. Nest sites shall be inspected daily to assure nest markers remain in place and the nest has not been disturbed by the planting activity; iii. If a nest is disturbed or uncovered during planting activity, the Corps, or the Applicant shall cease all work and immediately contact the project turtle permit holder. If a nest(s) cannot be safely avoided during planting, all activity within 10 feet of a nest shall be delayed until hatching and emerging success monitoring of the nest is completed;
		 iv. All dune planting activities shall be conducted by hand and only during daylight hours;
		v. All dune vegetation shall consist of coastal dune species native to the local area; (i.e., native to coastal dunes in the respective county and grown from plant stock from that region of Florida). Vegetation shall be planted with an appropriate amount of fertilizer and antidesiccant material for the plant size;
		vi. No use of heavy equipment shall occur on the dunes or seaward for planting purposes. A lightweight (all-terrain type) vehicle, with tire pressures of 10 psi or less may be used for this purpose; and
		vii. Irrigation equipment, if needed, shall be authorized under a FDEP permit.
		 q) Beach mouse habitat shall be avoided when selecting sites for equipment, pipes, vehicle storage and staging to the maximum extent possible. Suitable beach

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		mouse habitat constitutes the primary dunes (characterized by sea oats and other grasses), secondary dunes (similar to primary dunes, but also frequently includes such plants as woody goldenrod, false rosemary), and interior or scrub dunes.
		r) Equipment placement or storage shall be excluded in the area between 5 to 10 feet seaward of the existing dune toe or 10 percent of the beach width (for projects occurring on narrow eroded beach segments) seaward of the dune toe in areas of occupied beach mouse habitat (SPBO Figure 16). The toe of the dune is where the slope breaks at the seaward foot of the dune. If the pipes placed parallel to the dune cannot be placed between 5 to 10 feet away from the toe of the dune as required during sea turtle nesting and hatching season, the Corps must reinitiate consultation with the Service as this represents adverse effects not addressed in this SPBO.
		s) Existing beach access points shall be used for vehicle and equipment beach access to the maximum extent possible. These access points shall be delineated by post and rope or other suitable material to ensure vehicles and equipment transport stay within the access corridor. The access corridors shall be fully restored to the preconstruction conditions following project completion. Parking areas for construction crews shall be located as close as possible to the work sites, but outside of vegetated dune areas to minimize impacts to existing habitat and transporting workers along the beachfront.
		t) A report with the information specified in SPBO Tables 20 and 21 shall be submitted to the Service electronically (seaturtle@fws.gov) by December 31 after completion of construction.

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		u) In the event a sea turtle nest is excavated during construction activities, the project turtle permit holder responsible for egg relocation for the project shall be notified immediately so the eggs can be moved to a suitable relocation site. Upon locating a dead or injured sea turtle adult, hatchling, egg, or beach mouse that may have been harmed or destroyed as a direct or indirect result of the project, the Corps, Applicant shall be responsible for notifying FWC Wildlife Alert at 1-888-404-FWCC (3922) and the appropriate Service Field Office immediately (Table 3). Care shall be taken in handling injured sea turtles, eggs or beach mice to ensure effective treatment or disposition, and in handling dead specimens to preserve biological materials in the best possible state for later analysis.
		v) Manatees
		 Shall follow the 2011 Standard Manatee In-water Construction Conditions
		ii. Barges shall install mooring bumpers that provide a minimum 4-foot standoff distance under maximum compression between other moored barges and large vessels, when in the vicinity of inlets, river mouths, and large estuaries where manatees are known to congregate.
		iii. Pipelines shall be positioned such that they do not restrict manatee movement to the maximum extent possible. Plastic pipelines shall be weighted or floated. Pipelines transporting dredged material within the vicinity of inlets, river mouths, and large estuaries where manatees are known to congregate shall be weighted or secured to the bottom substrate as necessary to prevent movement of the pipeline and to prevent manatee entrapment

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		or crushing.
		iv. In the event that such positioning has the potential to impact submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) or nearshore hardbottom, the pipeline may be elevated or secured to the bottom substrate to minimize impacts to SAV.
		w) Migratory Birds: Applicant shall follow the latest Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) standard guidelines to protect against impacts to nesting shorebirds during implementation of this project during periods from February 15 to August 31.
		2. The applicant will comply with the following additional conditions from the USFWS Programmatic Piping Plover Biological Opinion (P3BO) #04EF1000-2013-F-0124, dated May 22, 2013:
		a) The Corps or the Permittee must provide the following information to the Service Field Supervisor of the appropriate Field Office at least 10 business days prior to the commencement of work:
		 i. Project location (include FDEP Range Monuments and latitude and longitude coordinates);
		ii. Project description (include linear feet of beach, actual fill template, access points, and borrow areas);
		iii. Date of commencement and anticipated duration of construction; and
		 iv. Names and qualifications of personnel involved in piping plover surveys.
		b) Prior to construction, the Corps shall delineate preferred piping plover habitat (intertidal portions of ocean beaches, ephemeral pools, washover areas, wrack

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		lines) adjacent to or outside of the project footprint that might be impacted by construction activities. Obvious identifiers shall be used (for example, pink flagging on metal poles) to clearly mark the beginning and end points to prevent accidental impacts to use areas.
		c) Piping plover habitat delineated adjacent to or outside of the project footprint shall be avoided to the maximum extent practicable when staging equipment, establishing travel corridors, and aligning pipeline.
		d) Driving on the beach for construction shall be limited to the minimum necessary within the designated travel corridor, which will be established just above or just below the primary "wrack" line.
		e) Educational signs shall be installed at public access points within the project area with emphasis on the importance of the beach habitat and wrack for piping plovers. When the project area has a pet or dog regulation, the provisions of the regulation shall be included on the educational signs.
		f) For one full piping plover migration and winter season (beginning July 15 to May 15) prior to construction, and 2 years following each dredging and sand placement event, bimonthly (twice-monthly) surveys for piping plovers shall be conducted in the beach fill and in any other intertidal or shoreline areas within or affected by the project. If a full season is not available, at least 5 consecutive months with three surveys per month spaced at least 9 days apart are required. During emergency projects, the surveys will begin as soon as possible prior to, and up to implementing the project. Piping plover identification, especially when in non-breeding plumage, can be difficult. If preconstruction monitoring is not practicable, it will be so

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		indicated in the notification to the Service (see P3BO Term and Condition #2) and the Service will decide whether to require a separate individual consultation. See introductory paragraph to Reasonable and Prudent Measures.
		g) The person(s) conducting the survey must demonstrate the qualifications and ability to identify shorebird species and be able to provide the information listed below. The following will be collected, mapped, and reported:
		 Date, location, time of day, weather, and tide cycle when survey was conducted;
		ii. Latitude and longitude of observed piping plover locations (decimal degrees preferred);
		iii. Any color bands observed on piping plovers;
		iv. Behavior of piping plovers (e.g., foraging, roosting, preening, bathing, flying, aggression, walking);
		v. Landscape features(s) where piping plovers are located (<i>e.g.</i> , inlet spit, tidal creeks, shoals, lagoon shoreline);
		vi. Habitat features(s) used by piping plovers when observed (e.g., intertidal, fresh wrack, old wrack, dune, midbeach, vegetation);
		vii. Substrata used by piping plovers (<i>e.g.</i> , sand, mud/sand, mud, algal mat);
		viii. The amount and type of recreational use (e.g., people, dogs on or off leash, vehicles, kite-boarders); and
		ix. All other shorebirds/waterbirds seen within the survey area.
		All information shall be provided in an Excel spreadsheet. Monitoring results

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
		shall be submitted (datasheets, maps, database) on standard electronic media (e.g., CD, DVD) to the appropriate Field Office by July 31 of each year in which monitoring is completed. If an appropriate web-based reporting system becomes available, it would be used in lieu of hard copy/media.
		[NOTE: As a condition to a permit from the FDEP, the bird monitor may also be required to report shorebird data to the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) https://public.myfwc.com/crossdoi/shorebirds/SigninExploreData.aspx.]
		3. If the applicant does not use an upland source and intends to dredge using a hopper dredge, the project will comply with the NMFS South Atlantic Regional Biological Opinion (SARBO).
Cultural	Updated – see Dial	Alternative 2 would require the following measures:
Resources See Section 4.7 for details.	Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 3.17. Alternative 1 and 2 – No impact.	The applicant will establish a 200-foot buffer zone around two magnetic anomalies within the South Borrow area identified in a cultural resources survey by Dr. Robert Baer.
	Concurrence with SHPO received on FEMA's determination of No Effect on Historic Properties provided all ground-disturbing activities include FEMA's Special Conditions for fortuitous finds or unexpected discoveries. Additionally, notification was made to 6 federally-recognized tribes and no objections were received during the	• If human remains or intact archaeological deposits are uncovered, work in the vicinity of the discovery will stop immediately and all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds will be taken. The Applicant will assure that archaeological discoveries are secured in place, that access to the sensitive area is restricted, and that all reasonable measures are taken to avoid further disturbance of the discoveries. The Applicant's contractor will provide immediate notice of such discoveries to the Applicant. The Applicant will contact the Florida Division of Historical Resources and FEMA within 24 hours of the discovery. Work in the vicinity of the discovery may not resume until FEMA has completed consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office, tribes, and other consulting parties as necessary. If unmarked human remains

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
	period of consultation regarding FEMA's determination of No Historic Properties	are encountered during permitted activities, all work will stop immediately, and the proper authorities will be notified in accordance with Florida Statutes, Section 872.05.
	Affected.	• Construction vehicles and equipment will be stored onsite during the project or at existing access points within the Applicant's right-of-way.
		• Prior to conducting repairs, applicant must identify the source and location of fill material and provide this information to FDEM and FEMA. If the borrow pit is privately owned, or is located on previously undisturbed land, or if the fill is obtained by the horizontal expansion of a pre-existing borrow pit, FEMA consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer will be required. Failure to comply with this condition may jeopardize FEMA funding; verification of compliance will be required at project closeout.
		• Any changes to the approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the State of Florida and FEMA, prior to initiation of any work, for compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA.
Geology and Geomorphology	No change – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Sections 3.2 and 4.2. Alternative 1 – No impact.	Alternative 2 would require a JCP from FDEP that would require beach compatible sand be utilized. Indian River County has obtained a Consolidated Joint Coastal Permit and Sovereign Submerged Lands Authorization from FDEP, Permit Number: 0215960-005-JC.
	Alternative 2 – No long-term impacts. Beach compatible sand will be used during construction.	

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
Vegetation	No change – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 3.5 and 4.5.	Not applicable
	Alternative 1 – No impact from construction. Continuing erosion could lead to ongoing dune vegetation loss due to escarpment and construction of seawalls by residents.	
	Alternative 2 – No impact to dune vegetation during construction, beneficial impact from restored shoreline due to buffer from storm surge.	

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
Fish and Wildlife Resources	No change – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Sections 3.8, 3.9, 4.8, and 4.9. Alternative 1 – No impacts. Alternative 2 – Indirect impacts to nearshore hardbottom habitat, which is a prominent feature in Indian River County are possible but will be minimized by implementing a no impact design with a reduced volume from historic renourishment projects; short term changes in nearshore and offshore areas may occur. Temporary impacts to migratory birds and surf-zone fishes is likely to occur. After construction, fish and wildlife resources are expected to recover.	Alternative 2 would require implementation of the FDEP JCP and USACE permit conditions regarding Essential Fish Habitat and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, including provisions in applicable PBOs regarding shorebirds. The USACE consulted with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) regarding Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) in the Sector 7 project area in Indian River County. The NMFS noted the Jacksonville District complied with section 305(b)(4)(B) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation Act by reducing adverse impacts to EFH and by adequately addressing the EFH conservation recommendations identified through repeated correspondence.
Socioeconomic	No change – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 10.2. Alternative 1 – Impacts could result from future storm damages along the shoreline. Alternative 2- Beneficial impact due to risk reduction along the shoreline and increase in recreational value.	Not applicable.

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
Coastal Barrier Resources	Updated – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 3.10.	Not applicable.
	Alternative 1 and 2 – No impact, not located within Coastal Barrier Resource System unit.	
Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste	Updated – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 3.11. Alternative 1 - No impact. Alternative 2- Minor short-term impact due to potential for spills during construction.	Potential for spills from construction equipment for Alternative 2 would be minimized and handled in accordance with applicable regulations. Assessment indicated no evidence of hazardous, toxic, or radioactive waste (HTRW) at the project area.
Air Quality	No change – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 3.12. Alternative 1 – No impact. Alternative 2 – Minor short-term impacts to air quality due to exhaust from construction equipment.	Not applicable.
Noise	No change – see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 3.13. Alternative 1 – No impact. Alternative 2 – Minor short-term impacts from construction equipment. Project is not expected to change the long-term ambient noise levels in the area.	Not applicable.

Resource	Environmental Consequences	Environmental Protection Measures and Required Permits
Cumulative Impacts	No change—see Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA Section 4.21.	Not applicable.
See Section 5.0 for details.	Alternative 1 – No impacts.	
	Alternative 2 - Not expected to have significant adverse cumulative impacts on any resource but will likely cause short-term impacts to fisheries, water quality, and sea turtle nesting activity.	

4.2 Floodplain Management (Executive Order 11988)

Executive Order (EO) 11988 Floodplain Management, as implemented in 44 CFR Part 9, requires federal agencies to "avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct or indirect support of floodplain development wherever there is a practicable alternative." The 100-year floodplain is the area covered by water in the event of a 100-year flood, which is a flood that has a 1% chance of being equaled or exceeded in magnitude in any given year. The 500-year floodplain is the area covered by water in the event of a 500-year flood, which is a flood that has a 0.2% chance of being equaled or exceeded in magnitude in any given year. The VE zone is the coastal area subject to a velocity hazard (wave action) where Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) are provided. The VE zones as well as the 100-and 500-year floodplains are mapped on FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM). FEMA uses the eight-step decision-making process to evaluate potential effects on and mitigate impacts to floodplains and wetlands in compliance with EO 11988 and EO 11990 Wetlands Management. Based on the current FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), the project area is located within the coastal high hazard area (VE Zone) (Appendix A).

Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative

Under the no action alternative, no construction would occur and there would be no effect to the floodplain. Improved property adjacent to the project area would remain at risk from future flooding events.

Alternative 2 – Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred Alternative)

Under the preferred alternative, construction to restore the facility would occur within the floodplain. The reconstructed engineered beach would serve to reduce the flood risk to adjacent improved property. The facility is functionally dependent upon its location within the floodplain and facilitates open space use of the floodplain for recreational value. An 8-step checklist, as required by 44 CFR Part 9, has been completed for this alternative (Appendix A).

4.3 Coastal Zone Management

The Florida Coastal Management Program (FCMP) is a network of statutes that protect Florida's coastal resources. FDEP implements federal consistency reviews through the Florida State Clearinghouse or its permitting process. An FDEP Joint Coastal Permit (JCP) is required for activities located on Florida's natural sandy beaches that extend seaward of the mean high water line, extend into sovereign submerged lands, and are likely to affect the distribution of sand along the beach.

Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative

Under the no action alternative, no work would occur and there would be no impact to the coastal zone. Additionally, if the beach renourishment project was not completed, additional hardening of the shoreline may occur to protect the nearby residential properties.

<u>Alternative 2 – Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred Alternative)</u>

Under the preferred alternative, activity and construction would occur in the coastal zone. The project would restore eroded areas of the shore by replacing beach compatible sand to a designed beach profile meant to mimic the natural dune system. Indian River County has obtained a Consolidated Joint Coastal Permit and Sovereign Submerged Lands Authorization from FDEP, Permit Number: 0215960-005-JC. Indian River County is required to adhere to the construction conditions and monitoring requirements and obtain modifications when necessary. Issuance of the permit constitutes consistency review by the Florida FDEP Clearinghouse.

4.4 Protection of Wetlands (Executive Order 11990)

Executive Order (EO) 11990, Protection of Wetlands, requires federal agencies to avoid, to the extent possible, the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the destruction or modification of wetlands and to avoid direct or indirect support of new construction in wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative.

Florida's Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) program regulates dredging and filling in wetlands and surface waters, and activities in uplands that generate stormwater runoff or otherwise alter surface water flows. In Florida, a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) stormwater construction permit is required from the FDEP for any proposed project that would disturb 1 acre or more of land. As part of either permit, the project proponent is required to prepare and implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which outlines the best management practices (BMPs) and engineering controls to be used to prevent and minimize erosion, sedimentation, and pollution during construction.

In Florida, a Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL) permit is required for any proposed project that would occur seaward of the CCCL, unless the project is exempt or covered under a Joint Coastal Permit (JCP), which includes authorization under the CCCL permit and ERP. The boundary of the CCCL is defined in Chapter 62B-26 of the Florida Administrative Code (FAC). The CCCL runs along the coast and forms the landward extent of the beach-dune system affected by 100-year coastal storm surge and waves. The CCCL boundary is marked by established permanent points, commonly known as reference monuments.

Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative

Under the no action alternative, no impacts to wetlands are anticipated.

<u>Alternative 2 – Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred Alternative)</u>

Under the preferred alternative, short-term impacts are anticipated. The action may involve dredging of marine wetlands and placing sand in the near and foreshore environment. Temporary increases to turbidity could be expected due to dredging and sand placement; however, no long-term impacts are expected due to the lack of estuarine or marshy wetlands in the project vicinity. Short-term negative impacts would also be expected to commercial and recreational fisheries near the shoreline and the dredge area, but impacts are expected to be limited to the construction timeframe. Impacts would include the higher turbidity in the habitat causing species to move from the area and reducing the number of catch available for a short period of time. The long-term impacts to the marine wetlands would be beneficial for preserving habitat and recreational value as well as reducing rates of sand loss and erosion from future storms. The applicant has obtained both a FDEP JCP and an USACE Individual Permit and will follow the permit conditions to minimize impacts from construction. See Appendix A for 8-step analysis on floodplains and wetlands.

4.5 Environmental Justice (Executive Order 12898)

On February 11, 1994, President Clinton signed EO 12898, entitled, "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority and Low-Income Populations". The EO directs federal agencies, "to make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States."

Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative

Under the no action alternative, no disproportionate impacts on minority or low-income populations are anticipated.

Alternative 2 – Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred Alternative)

Under the preferred alternative, no disproportionate impacts, adverse impacts to minority or low-income populations are anticipated. The beach will be restored to its engineered beach profile with no changes to the existing design and footprint. The project benefits would be to all population members.

4.6 Threatened and Endangered Species

In accordance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, the project was evaluated for the potential occurrences of federally listed threatened and endangered species. The ESA requires any federal agency that funds, authorizes or carries out an action to ensure that their action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitats.

4.6.1 <u>Existing Conditions</u>

Potential threatened and endangered species that may be present in the project area were identified in the previous Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA. The species were verified and updated by accessing the USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) database in May 2019 to identify species that may occur in Indian River County. Additionally, species under NMFS jurisdiction were identified using the NOAA Fisheries Species Directory (https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species-directory). The species likely to occur in the project area are the green sea turtle (*Chelonia mydas*),

hawksbill sea turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*), loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*), Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*), red knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*), piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), southeast beach mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus niveiventris*), west Indian manatee (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*), smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*), giant manta ray (*Manta birostris*) and the north Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). The shoreline of the project area is suitable sea turtle nesting habitat for listed sea turtles as well as foraging habitat for the piping plover and red knot. There is no designated critical habitat within the project area.

Alternative 1 – No Action Alternative

Alternative 1 does not include any FEMA undertaking and no construction, therefore there would be no potential for effects and no further responsibility under the ESA. Suitable sea turtle nesting habitat may continue to be reduced in the project area due to the coastal erosion.

<u>Alternative 2 – Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred Alternative)</u>

Alternative 2 is expected to have impacts to species along the shoreline and in the nearshore environment due to sand placement and dredging, if that is the method selected for sourcing sand. If sand placement and renourishment of the engineered beach occurs during sea turtle nesting season, the action may adversely affect nesting sea turtles and hatchlings. Short-term adverse impacts may be expected to the red knot and piping plover due to disruption in foraging habitat during construction. Dredging activities may affect, but are not likely to adversely affect, sea turtles, smalltooth sawfish, manatees, giant manta rays, or whale species near the dredging area and nearshore environment due to the usage of a hydraulic dredge. If a hopper dredge is used, conditions within the NMFS South Atlantic Regional Biological Opinion (SARBO) would need to be followed.

The project will be required to meet the terms and conditions of these three applicable USACE programmatic biological opinions to minimize impacts to listed species: the USFWS Statewide Sand Placement Biological Opinion (SPBO) (Service Log 41910-2011-F-0170, dated March 13, 2015), the USFWS Programmatic Piping Plover Biological Opinion (P3BO) (Service Log 04EF1000-2013-F-0124, dated May 22, 2013), and if hopper dredging occurs, the NMFS South Atlantic Regional Biological Opinion (SARBO) (dated March 27, 2020). The project will also adhere to the Florida Standard Manatee Conditions as required by the PBOs. The terms and conditions of these documents can be found in Table 4.1.

4.7 Cultural Resources

Cultural resources include historic architectural properties (including buildings, structures, and objects), prehistoric and historic archaeological sites, historic districts, designed landscapes, and traditional cultural properties. The primary federal statutes that apply to cultural resources are NEPA and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended. The NHPA created the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and criteria to determine if cultural resources are eligible for listing in the NRHP. The NHPA defines historic properties as any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object that is listed in, or eligible for listing in, the NRHP (36 CFR § 800.16). When NRHP-eligible properties are present, federal agencies must assess the effect of the undertaking on them and consider ways to avoid, minimize, or mitigate potential adverse effects.

FEMA, the Florida State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the Florida Division of Emergency Management, the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

have executed a Statewide Programmatic Agreement dated September 10, 2014 to streamline the Section 106 review process.

4.7.1 Existing Conditions

FEMA evaluated potential resources in the APE utilizing the National Park Service (NPS) National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) GIS resource, the Florida Archaeological Master Site Files, and previous surveys near the project area. There are no documented sites within the APE of the shoreline where the beach renourishment is proposed to occur.

During an underwater survey by Morgan and Eklund in 2000, the proposed offshore borrow pit contained four magnetic anomalies and one previously identified historic shipwreck. The applicant has a 500-foot exclusion area around the shipwreck and 200-foot exclusion areas around the previously identified magnetic anomaly.

Alternative 1 − No Action Alternative

Alternative 1 does not include any FEMA undertaking and no construction, therefore there would be no potential for effects and no further responsibility under Section 106.

Alternative 2 – Comprehensive Beach Restoration Project (Preferred Alternative)

Alternative 2 would include renourishing the beach utilizing either an offshore or upland sand source. It is not anticipated for the work along the shoreline to have an impact as any known sites are located outside of the APE and renourishment activities have occurred in this area previously. Activities will not disturb sand and shoreline below the depth where sand has been placed previously.

During the 2000 underwater survey by Morgan and Eklund of the proposed offshore borrow pit, four magnetic anomalies and one previously identified historic shipwreck were identified. The applicant has a 500-foot exclusion area around the shipwreck and 200-foot exclusion areas around the previously identified magnetic anomaly.

Based on the results of previous investigations and FEMA's historic property identification efforts, no properties listed in or considered eligible for listing in the NRHP were located within the APE of this undertaking. FEMA has made a determination of *No Historic Properties Affected* and received concurrence from SHPO on February 6, 2019. Additionally, FEMA consulted with six federally recognized tribes: Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida, Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town of the Creek Nation, Muscogee Creek Nation, Poarch Band of Creek Indians, Seminole Tribe of Florida, and Seminole Nation of Oklahoma. Two of the tribes (Muscogee Creek Nation and Poarch Band of Creek Indians) provided concurrence with FEMA's finding of *No Historic Properties Affected* and the four other tribes did not express any objections to the proposed project. The following conditions will be applied to the project:

- The applicant will establish a 200-foot buffer zone around two magnetic anomalies within the South Borrow area identified in a cultural resources survey by Dr. Robert Baer.
- If human remains or intact archaeological deposits are uncovered, work in the vicinity of the discovery will stop immediately and all reasonable measures to avoid or minimize harm to the finds will be taken. The Applicant will assure that archaeological discoveries are secured in place, that access to the sensitive area is restricted, and that all reasonable measures are taken to avoid further disturbance of the discoveries. The Applicant's contractor will provide immediate notice of such discoveries to the Applicant. The Applicant will contact the Florida Division of Historical Resources and FEMA within 24 hours of the discovery. Work in the

vicinity of the discovery may not resume until FEMA has completed consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office, tribes, and other consulting parties as necessary. If unmarked human remains are encountered during permitted activities, all work will stop immediately, and the proper authorities will be notified in accordance with Florida Statutes, Section 872.05.

- Construction vehicles and equipment will be stored onsite during the project or at existing access points within the Applicant's right-of-way.
- Prior to conducting repairs, applicant must identify the source and location of fill material
 and provide this information to FDEM and FEMA. If the borrow pit is privately owned, or is
 located on previously undisturbed land, or if the fill is obtained by the horizontal expansion
 of a pre-existing borrow pit, FEMA consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer
 will be required. Failure to comply with this condition may jeopardize FEMA funding;
 verification of compliance will be required at project closeout.
- Any changes to the approved scope of work will require submission to, and evaluation and approval by, the State and FEMA, prior to initiation of any work, for compliance with Section 106.

5.0 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Per the CEQ regulations, cumulative impacts refer to the impact on the environment that "results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency (Federal or non-Federal) or person undertakes such other actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions taking place over a period of time" (40 CFR § 1508.7). In accordance with NEPA, this SEA considered the combined effect of the preferred alternative and other actions occurring or proposed in the vicinity of the proposed project site.

The shoreline of Indian River County is vulnerable to coastal erosion and expected to be subject to damages from future tropical storms and hurricanes, which may result in presidential declarations. As an engineered and maintained facility, the beach is subject to future renourishments due to storm and background erosion sand loss. The previous Dial Cordy and Associates Inc. EA issued in 2005 identified cumulative impacts from ongoing shoreline stabilization efforts. The renourishment efforts identified in this EA were expected to occur at that time as an ongoing maintenance requirement upon constructing the engineered and maintained beach.

Direct impacts have occurred to hardbottom as a result of past renourishment projects in Indian River County Sections 1 and 2. To mitigate these impacts, artificial reefs were constructed offshore. Hardbottom impacts for the Sector 7 renourishment of 2007 were measured at 0 acres and no mitigation actions were taken. Therefore, it is unlikely that Indian River County's preferred alternative of a no-impact design cumulative beach nourishment project would result in any significant cumulative impacts.

The shoreline of the project area is largely developed with residential housing. It is not anticipated that the proposed project, or future maintenance actions, would have an impact on development due to the nature of the existing area. The continued existence of improved property and redevelopment of those properties may be associated with the continued maintenance and renourishment of the Indian River County Sector 7 engineered beach.

The proposed project, and anticipated future actions in the area, will have short-term impacts to commercial and recreational usage of the shoreline and possibly the associated borrow area (if used) due to construction efforts. However, it is anticipated there will be no long-term impacts to commercial fisheries, and beneficial long-term impacts to commercial and recreational usage of the shoreline as a result of the continued existence of the engineered beach. The shoreline of Indian River County is a large component of the economy as a component of local tourism; continued maintenance of the engineered beach will continue its benefit for tourism and recreational value. Additionally, renourishing the beach will also serve to protect the existing improved property along the beach.

The proposed action is not expected to have significant adverse cumulative impacts on any resource based on the review conducted when added to past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions within the proposed project area.

6.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

USACE is the lead federal agency that conducted the original NEPA analysis and issued a FONSI in 2006. FEMA issued a disaster-wide initial public notice for Hurricane Matthew on November 21, 2016 and for Hurricane Irma on October 7, 2017 to notify the public of projects under the Public Assistance program that may be occurring within floodplains. The project was discussed at a public outreach meeting on April 8th, 2018, and no members of the public spoke in opposition of the Sector 7 project. Indian River County has a *Public Beach and Shores* meeting where beach renourishment projects are discussed.

The public will be notified of the availability of this SEA for review and comment by posting of the public notice on FEMA's website, Indian River County's website, and the project location. A hard copy of the SEA will be made available at Indian River County Administration Building A, Public Works Office located at 1801 27th Street, Vero Beach, Florida 32960, during normal business hours. The public comment period will end after 15 days of posting.

7.0 AGENCY COORDINATION

The following agencies and organizations were contacted during the preparation of this EA:

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Jacksonville District
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)
- Florida Division of Historical Resources (SHPO)
- Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida
- Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town of the Creek Nation
- Muscogee Creek Nation
- Poarch Band of Creek Indians
- Seminole Tribe of Florida
- Seminole Nation of Oklahoma

8.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

Name	Organization	Title
Stephanie Everfield	FEMA	Regional Environmental Officer
Larissa Hyatt	FEMA	Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist
Victoria Erb	FEMA	Environmental Protection Specialist
Amanda Calhoun	FEMA	Environmental Protection Specialist
Steven Wirtz	FEMA	Historic Preservation Specialist

9.0 REFERENCES

Dial Cordy and Associates Inc., 2005. Draft Environmental Assessment Indian River County Sector 7 Beach Restoration Project. Accessed online May 6, 2019 at http://www.ircgov.com/Departments/Public_Works/Coastal_Engineering_Section/Documents/Sector-7_Environmental_Assessment.pdf

USACE, 2006. MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD: Department of the Army Environmental Assessment and Statement of Finding for CESAJ-RD-AM SAJ-2003-6106.

Appendices available upon request to FEMA Region IV EHP (FEMA-R4EHP-FLORIDA@fema.dhs.gov)