Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary
School Coffession

## Record of Environmental Consideration

See 44 Code of Federal Regulation Part 10.

**Project Name/Number:** EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary School Cafeteria / PW 14783

<u>Project Location</u>: 3929 Erato Street, New Orleans, Louisiana, Orleans Parish 70125 (N29.57201, W-90.05787)

<u>Project Description</u>: Project scope of work includes restoring the facility to its pre-disaster condition and upgrading to current codes and standards. Project activities include, but not limited to, repairing and replacing damaged components such as vinyl asbestos tile and adhesive, plywood ceiling, interior doors and hardware, metal doors with push bar and window, acoustical ceiling tiles and insulation, drinking fountains, chalkboard, HVAC units, water heaters, fluorescent lights, and various cleaning.

| <b>Documentation Requirements</b>   |
|---|
| No Documentation Required (Review Concluded)  |
| (Short version) All consultation and agreements implemented to comply with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, and Executive Orders 11988, 11990 and 12898 are completed and no other laws apply. (Review Concluded)  |
| (Long version) All applicable laws and executive orders were reviewed. Additional information for compliance is attached to this REC.   |
| National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Determination  |
| Statutorily excluded from NEPA review. (Review Concluded)  Programmatic Categorical Exclusion - Category (xv) (Review Concluded)  Categorical Exclusion - Category  No Extraordinary Circumstances exist.  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Extraordinary Circumstances exist (See Section IV).  Extraordinary Circumstances mitigated. (See Section IV comments)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Environmental Assessment  Supplemental Environmental Assessment (Reference EA or PEA in comments)  Environmental Impact Statement |
| Comments: Refer to Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (PCE)-Restoration and/or Improvement of Internal Facility Systems or Components and PCE-Restoration and/or Improvement of External Systems or Components dated 09/01/2005. See attached.  |

| Reviewer and Approvals   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project is Non-Compliant (See attached documentation justifying selection).  |  |  |  |  |
| FEMA Environmental Reviewer.  Name: Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist   |  |  |  |  |
| Signature Brandon M. Clark Date 12/07/2006.  |  |  |  |  |
| FEMA Regional Environmental Officer or delegated approving official.  Name: Howard R. Bush, ELO  |  |  |  |  |
| Signature Date   |  |  |  |  |
| I. Compliance Review for Environmental Laws (other than NEPA)  |  |  |  |  |
| Not type of activity with potential to affect historic properties. <a href="Review Concluded">Review Concluded</a> )  Applicable executed Programmatic Agreement   |  |  |  |  |
| ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES    Project affects only previously disturbed ground. (Review Concluded)   Project affects undisturbed ground. (Review Concluded)   Project area has no potential for presence of archeological resources   Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence or consultation on file). (Review Concluded)   Project area has potential for presence of archeological resources   Determination of no historic properties affected (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Are project conditions required   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   Determination of historic properties affected   NR eligible resources not present (FEMA finding/SHPO/THPO concurrence on file).   Are project conditions required   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   NR eligible resources present in project area. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Are project conditions required?   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   Are project conditions required?   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   Adverse Effect Determination. (FEMA finding/ SHPO/THPO concurrence on file)   Resolution of Adverse Effect completed. (MOA on file)   Are project conditions required?   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded)   Are project conditions required?   Yes (see section V)   No (Review Concluded) |  |  |  |  |
| Record of Environmental Consideration 2 12/07/06   |  |  |  |  |

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: Recovery School District

| Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary   |
|---|
| Comments: 12/5/2006 - FEMA's Programmatic Agreement (PA), dated December 3, 2004, provides for expedited project review under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). The scope of work as submitted in this PW has been reviewed and meets the criteria outlined in Appendix A, Programmatic Allowances, Section {II-A2, II-C1, II-C2, II-A4, II-H, II-B1}, of the document. In accordance with the PA, FEMA is not required to determine the national register eligibility of properties or to submit projects to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for review where the work performed meets these allowances. In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for reevaluation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. This concludes the Section 106 review for this projectA. Martinez, Historic Specialist   |
| 12/5/2006- Cleaning of historic building materials, systems and finishes must be executed in accord with the guidance contained in the U.S. Department of the Interior, NPS, Preservation Brief No. 6, dangers of abrasive cleaning to historic buildings, (see http://www.cr.nps.gov/hps/tps/briefs/brief06.htm). Requirements include: identification of materials to be cleaned, protection of materials not to be cleaned, identification of gentlest means of cleaning possible and testing of cleaning means and methods. Work is to be executed by qualified workers, with documented successful experience working with historic building materials. Abrasive methods of cleaning are prohibited including: power washing, pressure washing and blasting, unless under the supervision of a qualified building conservator or historical architect. Washing with potable water, non-ionic detergent, scrubbing with natural bristle brushes and rinsing with potable water is acceptable for most historic building materials. Other methods may be acceptable when specified by a qualified historical architect (36 CFR Part 61). Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding A. Martinez, Historic Specialist Correspondence/Consultation/References: |
| B. Endangered Species Act  No listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.  (Review Concluded)  Listed species and/or designated critical habitat present in the areas affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action.  No effect to species or designated critical habitat. (See comments for justification)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  May affect, but not likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat (FEMA determination/USFWS/NMFS concurrence on file) (Review Concluded)  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section V) No (Review Concluded)  Likely to adversely affect species or designated critical habitat  Formal consultation concluded. (Biological Assessment and Biological Opinion on file)  Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)   |
| Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  |
| <ul> <li>C. Coastal Barrier Resources Act</li> <li>         \[</li></ul>  |
| Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  |
| D. Clean Water Act  ☐ Project would not affect any waters of the U.S. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project would affect waters, including wetlands, of the U.S. ☐ Project exempted as in kind replacement or other exemption. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project requires Section 404/401/or Section 9/10 (Rivers and Harbors Act) permit, including qualification under Nationwide Permits. Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)   |

| Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary School Cafeteria   |
|--|
| Comments: Project is unlikely to affect waters of the United States.  Correspondence/Consultation/References:  |
| E. Coastal Zone Management Act  ☐ Project is not located in a coastal zone area and does not affect a coastal zone area (Review concluded) ☐ Project is located in a coastal zone area and/or affects the coastal zone ☐ State administering agency does not require consistency review. ☐ State administering agency requires consistency review. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)  |
| Comments: 12/07/2006 - This project is located within the Louisiana Coastal Management Zone. LA DNR has determined that receipt of federal assistance is consistent with the Louisiana Coastal Resource Program. Projects within the coastal zone may still require a coastal use permit or other authorization from DNR. Projects may be coordinated by contacting LA DNR at 1-800-267-4019. Brandon M. Clark, Environmental Specialist Correspondence/Consultation/References: |
| F. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act  ☐ Project does not affect, control, or modify a waterway/body of water. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project affects, controls or modifies a waterway/body of water. ☐ Coordination with USFWS conducted ☐ No Recommendations offered by USFWS. (Review Concluded) ☐ Recommendations provided by USFWS. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)  |
| Comments: Project is unlikely to affect waters of the United States.  Correspondence/Consultation/References:  |
| G. Clean Air Act  ☐ Project will not result in permanent air emissions. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in an attainment area. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project is located in a non-attainment area. ☐ Coordination required with applicable state administering agency Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)   |
| Comments: Project will not result in permanent air emissions.  Correspondence/Consultation/References:   |
| H. Farmland Protection Policy Act  ☐ Project does not affect designated prime or unique farmland. (Review Concluded) ☐ Project causes unnecessary or irreversible conversion of designated prime or unique farmland. ☐ Coordination with Natural Resource Conservation Commission required. ☐ Farmland Conversion Impact Rating, Form AD-1006, completed. ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)                                       |
| Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:   |
| I. Migratory Bird Treaty Act  ☐ Project not located within a flyway zone. (Review Concluded)  ☐ Project located within a flyway zone.  ☐ Project does not have potential to take migratory birds. (Review Concluded)  ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ Yes (see section V) ☐ No (Review Concluded)  ☐ Project has potential to take migratory birds.  ☐ Contact made with USFWS  ☐ Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see section V) ☐ NO (Review Concluded)            |

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: Recovery School District

| Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / School Cafeteria   | Applicant: Recovery School District Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Comments: See letter from Don Fairley to Mr. Russ Watson with USF&WS, dated 09/14/2005. Specifically, FEMA has determined that restoration projects funded with federal resources will not have adverse impacts on migratory birds or other fish and wildlife reserves. These determinations are based on the understanding that the conditions outlined in the Louisiana Endangered Species Summary are met.  |  |  |  |
| Correspondence/Consultation/References: http://pacificflyway.go  | v/Documents/Mississippi map.pdf.   |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| J. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation  Project not located in or near Essential Fish Habitat. (Review) Project located in or near Essential Fish Habitat.  Project does not adversely affect Essential Fish Habitat.  Are project conditions required? Yes (see section) Project adversely affects Essential Fish Habitat (FEM) NOAA Fisheries provided no recommendation Are project conditions required? Yes (see NOAA Fisheries provided recommendation) Written reply to NOAA Fisheries real Are project conditions required?  Comments: None | cat. (Review Concluded)  (at. (Review Concluded)  (at. (Review Concluded)  (b) No (Review Concluded)  (c) (Review Concluded)  (d) (Review Concluded)  (e) Section V) No (Review Concluded)  (e) No (Review Concluded)          |  |  |
| Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:   |  |  |  |
| Correspondence/Consultation/References:  |  |  |  |
| K. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  ☐ Project is not along and does not affect Wild or Scenic River (☐ Project is along or affects WSR ☐ Project adversely affects WSR as determined by NPS (NPS/USFS/USFWS/BLM consultation on file) (Rev ☐ Project does not adversely affect WSR. (NPS/USFS/Are project conditions required? ☐ YES (see sections)   | JUSFS. FEMA cannot fund the action.  iew Concluded)  USFWS/BLM consultation on file)   |  |  |
| Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:   |  |  |  |
| Correspondence Constitution Registrates.   |  |  |  |
| L. Other Relevant Laws and Environmental Regulations   |  |  |  |
| II. Compliance Review f  | or Executive Orders  |  |  |
| A. E.O. 11988 - Floodplains  No Effect on Floodplains/Flood levels and project outside Flo Located in Floodplain or Effects on Floodplains/Flood levels  No adverse effect on floodplain and not adversely aff Are project conditions required? Yes (see section Beneficial Effect on Floodplain Occupancy/Values ( Possible adverse effects associated with investment in environment  8 Step Process Complete - documentation of Are project conditions required? YES (see   | ected by the floodplain. (Review Concluded),  a V) No (Review Concluded)  Review Concluded).  a floodplain, occupancy or modification of floodplain  |  |  |
| Comments: 12/06/2006- The City of New Orleans/Orleans Parish (NFIP) as of 08/03/1970. Facility is located within an "A4" zone, hazard factors as determined per Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRI is for repair of the building and replacement of equipment and corbuilding contents, materials and equipment, where possible, disast future losses by relocation of those building contents, materials and elevation. Kara Knott, CFM  Correspondence/Consultation/References:  | area of 100-yr flooding, base flood elevations and flood M) panel number 225203 0160e, dated 03/01/1984. Project inponents. Per 44 CFR 9.11 (D)(9), the replacement of the proofing of the building and/or elimination of such |  |  |

| Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elec   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| School Cafeteria   | inemai y   |  |  |  |
| B. E.O. 11990 - Wetlands   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>No Effects on Wetland(s) and project located outside Wetland(s) - (Review Concluded)</li> <li>□ Located in Wetland or effects Wetland(s)</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |
| Beneficial Effect on Wetland - (Review Concluded)  |  |  |  |  |
| Possible adverse effect associated with constructing in or near wetland  |  |  |  |  |
| Review completed as part of floodplain review  8 Step Process Complete - documentation on file   |  |  |  |  |
| Are project conditions required?  YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: None   |  |  |  |  |
| Correspondence/Consultation/References:  |  |  |  |  |
| C. E.O. 12898 - Environmental Justice For Low Income and Minority Popula  No Low income or minority population in, near or affected by the project - (Review Concluded)  Low income or minority population in or near project area  No disproportionately high and adverse impact on low income or minority population- (Review Concluded)  Disproportionately high or adverse effects on low income or minority population  Are project conditions required? YES (see section V) NO (Review Concluded)  |  |  |  |  |
| Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| III. Other Environmental Issues  |  |  |  |  |
| III. Other Environmental Issues  | Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law or executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance). |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law   | or   |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law   | or   |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None  | or   |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances   | or   |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  | or   |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in   | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be missingly applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue.   | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mit please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes   | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mit please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes   | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mi please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;  | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mi please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving   | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mi please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;  | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mi please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;  | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mi please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions;  (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks;  (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;  (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local   | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mi please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources;  | ) which  |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mit please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers | ) which tigated,   |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).    Comments: None   Correspondence/Consultation/References:  | ) which tigated,   |  |  |  |
| Identify other potential environmental concerns in the comment box not clearly falling under a law executive order (see environmental concerns scoping checklist for guidance).  Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:  IV. Extraordinary Circumstances  Based on the review of compliance with other environmental laws and Executive Orders, and in consideration of other environmental factors, review the project for extraordinary circumstances.  * A "Yes" under any circumstance may require an Environmental Assessment (EA) with the exception of (ii should be applied in conjunction with controversy on an environmental issue. If the circumstance can be mit please explain in comments. If no, leave blank.  Yes  (i) Greater scope or size than normally experienced for a particular category of action (ii) Actions with a high level of public controversy (iii) Potential for degradation, even though slight, of already existing poor environmental conditions; (iv) Employment of unproven technology with potential adverse effects or actions involving unique or unknown environmental risks; (v) Presence of endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat, or archaeological, cultural, historical or other protected resources; (vi) Presence of hazardous or toxic substances at levels which exceed Federal, state or local regulations or standards requiring action or attention; (vii) Actions with the potential to affect special status areas adversely or other critical resources such as wetlands, coastal zones, wildlife refuge and wilderness areas, wild and scenic rivers | ) which tigated,   |  |  |  |

| Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark  Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrin: School Cafeteria | Applicant: Recovery School District a / Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary             |
|--|--|
|  | the proposed action is combined with seeable future actions, even though the impacts of the significant by themselves. |
| Comments: None Correspondence/Consultation/References:   |  |

## V. Environmental Review Project Conditions

## **Project Conditions:**

- 1. This project must comply with all conditions of the attached Programmatic Categorical Exclusion.
- 2. In keeping with the stipulations of the PA, all proposed repair activities should be done in-kind to match existing materials and form. Any change to the approved scope of work will require resubmission for re-evaluation under Section 106 and consultation with the SHPO. Non-compliance may jeopardize the receipt of federal funding. This concludes the Section 106 review for this project.
- 3. Cleaning of historic building materials, systems and finishes must be executed in accord with the guidance contained in the U.S. Department of the Interior, NPS, Preservation Brief No. 6, dangers of abrasive cleaning to historic buildings, (see http://www.cr.nps.gov/hps/tps/briefs/brief06.htm). Requirements include: identification of materials to be cleaned, protection of materials not to be cleaned, identification of gentlest means of cleaning possible and testing of cleaning means and methods. Work is to be executed by qualified workers, with documented successful experience working with historic building materials. Abrasive methods of cleaning are prohibited including: power washing, pressure washing and blasting, unless under the supervision of a qualified building conservator or historical architect. Washing with potable water, non-ionic detergent, scrubbing with natural bristle brushes and rinsing with potable water is acceptable for most historic building materials. Other methods may be acceptable when specified by a qualified historical architect (36 CFR Part 61). Failure to comply with these stipulations may jeopardize receipt of FEMA funding.
- 4. Per 44 CFR 9.11 (D)(9), the replacement of building contents, materials and equipment, where possible, disaster proofing of the building and/or elimination of such future losses by relocation of those building contents, materials and equipment outside or above the advisory base flood elevation.
- 5. Unusable equipment, debris and material shall be disposed of in an approved manner and location. In the event significant items (or evidence thereof) are discovered during implementation of the project applicant shall handle, manage, and dispose of petroleum products, hazardous materials and/or toxic waste in accordance to the requirements and to the satisfaction of the governing local, state and federal agencies.
- 6. Removal and disposal of debris containing household hazardous waste and certain categories of liquid wastes must be performed in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws, regulations, executive orders and guidelines. LAC Title 33 Part VII requires that specified items, including lead acid batteries, used oil filters, used motor oil, scrap tires, cfc's (refrigerants), radioactive waste or regulated infectious wastes must be segregated from and excluded from non-hazardous debris collection, staging, processing and disposal sites.
- 7. In accordance with the Formosan Termite Initiative Act, (LA R.S. 3:3391.1 thru 3391.13) the Louisiana Parishes of Calcasieu, Cameron, Jefferson Davis, Orleans, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. Charles, St. John the Baptist, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa and Washington are under quarantine. The movement of wood or cellulose material, temporary housing or architectural components (e.g. beams, doors and other wood salvaged from a structure) may not leave the quarantined parishes without written authorization from the commissioner of the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry or his designee(s).
- 8. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure that may contain surfaces coated with lead-based paint (LBP). Activities involving abrading (sanding, scraping, etc.),

Reviewer Name: Brandon M. Clark

Applicant: Recovery School District

Disaster/Emergency/Program/Project Title: DR1603LA / Hurricane Katrina / Public Assistance Program / EOR2982 Florence J. Chester Elementary

School Cafeteria

heating, stripping, or otherwise concentrating LBP shall comply with applicable provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1910 and 1926 (OSHA - worker safety), and 40 CFR 260 through 268 (EPA - hazardous waste). The applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality for abatement activities and is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced regulations.

- 9. This project involves the demolition or renovation of a public structure. Regardless of the asbestos content, the applicant is responsible for ensuring that renovation or demolition activities are coordinated with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in accordance with the LDEQ "Sixth Amended Declaration of emergency and administrative order" dated June 30, 2006., incorporating the provisions of EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and the Louisiana Administrative Code (LAC) 33.III.5151 and Chapter 27. Should asbestos containing materials (ACMS) be present at the project site, the applicant is also responsible for ensuring proper disposal in accordance with the previously referenced administrative order.
- 10. This project potentially involves the disposal of metallic mercury containing electronic devices. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that these devices are recovered, recycled, reused or sequestered in accordance with the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) "Declaration of Emergency; Mercury-Containing Devices and Electronic Equipment as Universal Waste" letter dated October 3, 2005.

Monitoring Requirements: None